C. Michael Gibson, M.S., M.D.



Chairman, PERFUSE Study Group

Founder and Chairman, WikiDoc & WikiPatient, The World's Open Source Textbook of Medicine Viewed 896 Million Times A Year

- CROs: Why Do We Need Them?
 - Limited resources/Augment in-house personnel
 - Access to large number of patients/sites
 - Accelerated projects
 - Development cycles of products
 - Increased products in the development pipeline
 - International studies
 - Joint collaborations
 - Marketing Support

- CROs: How Do We Choose Them?
 - Ownership structure
 - Independent, Confidential
 - Financial stability
 - Professionally staffed
 - Experience
 - GCP, Global regulatory experience, International standards
 - References
 - Specialization
 - Standard Operating Procedures
 - Match between Sponsor and CRO

- CROs: How Do We Choose Them? (cont.)
 - Quality assurance
 - Infrastructure
 - Location, International network, Data security, Electronic database/Communication



- CROs: What Have We Learned?
 - Sponsor has ultimate responsibility for the studies
 - Communication with all parties involved
 - Train CRO personnel
 - "Manage the study managers"
 - Limited experience in the Medical Device Industry
 - Expectations must be clearly documented
 - Clearly identify obligations of all parties involved
 - Set milestones to measure performance
 - Investigators/study coordinators must communicate any problems with the CRO to the Sponsor

- CROs: What Have We Learned? (cont.)
 - Turnover of CRO staff can be problematic
 - Lack of consistency at study site
 - Re-training of new CRO personnel
 - CROs are expensive
 - Quality of work performed
 - Sponsor's knowledge on final product
 - Limited due to lack of day-to-day communication with study site staff